

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/602,461		MCCLARY ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Nitin C. Patel		2116	

All Participants:

(1) Nitin C. Patel.

(2) Mr. Jonathan Miller [reg. #45,534].

Date of Interview: 28 February 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2,8,12,16, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has initiated an interview with attorney, Mr. Miller, to discuss regarding the allowable subject matter of the claim 26, and to amend the claims 2, 8, 12, 16, and 21 with the examiner's amendment to expediate the prosecution. Attorney has authorized the examiner to amend the claims 2 - 21 as per the examiners's amendment. .